

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/296,031	04/21/1999	,	SUSAN A. LYONS PH.D.	D6218	7876
9629	7590 01/27/2003			•	
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP				EXAMINER	
1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON, DC 20004			CHEN, SHIN LIN		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1632	
				DATE MAILED: 01/27/2003	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/296,031 Applicant(s)

Lyons et al.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Shin-Lin Chen	Art Unit 1632	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the sever sheet with the serves	nondonos addres	
Period 1	for Reply	on the cover sneet with the corres	pondence addres	S
A SH THE N - Extens mailing - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of a patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	n no event, however, may a reply be timely filed the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailin the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S	after SIX (6) MONTHS considered timely. g date of this communi .C. § 133).	
Status	,			
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4-12-02 a	and 11-14-02	***	·
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This ac	tion is non-final.		
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa			merits is
Disposi	tion of Claims			
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>32-49</u>	is/are	pending in the	application.
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)	is/ar	e withdrawn fro	m consideration.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are allowed.	
6) 💢	Claim(s) 32-49		is/are rejected.	
7) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are objected t	ю.
8) 🗌	Claims	are subject to restric	tion and/or elec	tion requirement.
Applica	tion Papers	•		
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)💢	The drawing(s) filed on Apr 21, 1999 is/are	e a) 💢 accepted or b) 🗆 objecte	d to by the Exa	niner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a)	
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved	b)☐ disapprove	d by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	
	J All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:			
	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have			
	2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have			·
	3. \square Copies of the certified copies of the priority days application from the International Bure ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	this National St	age
_	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic		e).	
a)				
15)💢	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic		and/or 121.	
Attachm				
_	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper I	No(s)	
	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
3) [] Info	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/296,031 Page 2

Art Unit: 1633

DETAILED ACTION

Upon further review of the amendments filed 4-12-02 and 11-14-02, the Official action mailed 10-30-02 (Paper No. 20) and 1-6-03 (Paper No. 23) have been vacated.

Applicants' amendment filed 8-15-02 has been entered. Applicants' request that this application is a continuation-in-part of Application No. 08/774,154 filed 12-26-96, now US Patent 5,905,027, and claims the priority of 60/009,293 filed 12-27-95 is acknowledged. Claim 33 has been amended. Claims 32-49 are pending and under consideration.

Specification

1. The priority paper filed 4-15-02 amending the specification by inserting before the first line "This application is a continued prosecution application...which is a continuation-in-part of US Application 08/774,154...60/009,293...all of which are hereby incorporated by reference in their entirety" is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material is not supported by the original disclosure because the oath/declaration does not claim priority of any application. Thus, the amendment filed 4-15-02 introduce new matter into the specification.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Official Action.

Application/Control Number: 09/296,031 Page 3

Art Unit: 1633

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 32-42 remain rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 3-9 of U.S. Patent No. 5,905,027. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because, although drawn to different scope, they encompass the same invention and obvious variants thereof and is repeated for the reasons set forth in the preceding Official action mailed 5-16-02 (Paper No. 18). Applicants request holding of this rejection until the pending claims are free of prior art.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/296,031 Page 4

Art Unit: 1633

5. Claims 32-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The phrase "the binding of chlorotoxin in the tissue" in claim 32 is vague and renders the claim indefinite. It is unclear as to the metes and bounds of what would be considered "binding of chlorotoxin". It is not clear what is bound by the chlorotoxin. Claims 33-49 depend on claim 32 but fail to clarify the indefiniteness.

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shin-Lin Chen whose telephone number is (703) 305-1678. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Reynolds can be reached on (703) 305-4051. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist, whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

5 rehon